App F IX 4

*Previous References*
ml 2-31-9 15 29469
197-30 246
158 1 1

*Later References*
ml 00-33 (114736)

Permanent

1932
( ml )
19/11/11

**File No.** 159-325
**Serial Nos.** 1-4

PRESERVED

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

*Serial Nos* 4

**Part B for** March 33

---

SUBJECT

Proposal of the Bangalore City Mcty that it may be empowered to issue a registration license in the place where the buses stob usually - in cases they (buses) never go to the Head Quarters of the owner

---

sl 4

To Mal Commr Blore City thro the President
L 5685 dated 1st March 1933

State that the buses may be registered at the Head Quarters of the business or the place of residence of the owner &

Ok
15/3

L1442

and Revenue Secretariat — File No 159 of 1932 — [App F III—2

| Serial No | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Letter no To 102 P W dated 20/9/32 from the Commissioner Bangalore city, requesting that orders may be issued empowering the municipality to issue a Registration licence in the place where the buses stop instead in cases where buses never go to the Head quarters of the owner. |
| | 1 Instructions were issued vide office letter no L9783 vide 197-30-4 of 11/6/ stating that it is irregular to allow a bus to take out a registration licence in two places and the owner of a bus can take out a licence in only one place (ie) the place, where he resides and which is the real head-quarters of his bus |
| | 2 The Commissioner states that there are some instances where the owner of a resides and has his head quarters in a certain place but his buses ply for hire between two or other places and stop and during nights at one of these places, while coming to his head-quarters at all. He quotes the instance of the Bhadravathi Service |
| | 3 Submitted for orders. The Law Secy may be consulted BB 26/9/32-BB |
| | 4) The question has been further considered in connection with the Law Secy, in another connection, vide appeal of K Narayana Rao, The Cancelled file may be perused. |

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13 8

29/9

1447

2054 /32

File No 159 of 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

B

5) Municipal file 2-31 & 9, 15, 29 69 on the subject is put up, as also file 158-31-1

8/2 25/3 30/9/32

141 law

6) For favour of opinion on the proposal of the Municipal Commissioner

Asst Secy, Law Dept 8/10
work Comptr

7) Information on the following points may kindly be obtained -

1) Are the buses of the Chamundi Motor Service, plying for hire, between Bangalore city and Anvatur, registered in the Mysore city or in any other Municipality? If so, in terms of what provision of law?
2) Are they paying toll to the Bangalore city Municipality?
3) Have they applied for registration in Bangalore & has it ever been refused?
4) Which is more advantageous to the Bangalore city Municipality, whether a levy of toll in such cases or registration for payment of tax

Asst Secy, Law Dept
work Comptr

TYPIST SECTION
15 OCT 1932
GENL & REV SECTT

11/10

8) Please call for the connected papers

11/10

9) Submit with a draft for approval

12/10

10) Return 14/10

| Serial No | |
|---|---|
| 2 | The Ml Commr, Bangalore city Lr No L 2812 d 19-10-32 calls for information on certain points |
| | Sec A 19/10 |
| 3 | Letter no 70 237 dated 23/11/32 from the Commr Bangalore city furnishing the information called for — The letter has not been sent through the President. The Commr states that in the [illegible] cases the levy of toll is more advantageous than registration. Submitted with reference to para 3 of Govt Order dated 30/11/32 (Under Secy, Govt Transport Works Cases) 30/11 |
| | 11 |
| | The Ml Commr in his letter dated 20th Sept 1932 states that some lorry owners who ply for hire will never reach the 40 gross during nights and halt at any one of the places to or from which they run, and requests sanction of Govt to empower the Mlty to issue a registration license in the place where the lorry is kept usually. In his letter dated 23/11/32 he has pointed out that levy of toll is more advantageous than registration. It is an admitted fact that toll is a higher incidence of levy than the tax prescribed for the So long as a person is willing to pay the toll that |

is more advantageous to the Mcty he need not be compelled to register his bus As such it does not seem to be desirable to issue the necessary instructions as desired required by the Ml Com

ISO 22/12

The Dy Mayor states that these buses are registered in Q & Br in the Bangalore city They have not been registered elsewhere & they have for the right in Bangalore The Municipality is right in permitting their registration

GENERAL BRANCH 22 DEC No

Confd)

Ca 22/12/32

I agree the Comr may be instructed accordingly

Gpy 6/1 6/1

L S & Br)

Submitted with a draft if the levy of toll was more advantageous why they shd have registered is not clear Does the bye-law insist on registration?

B

CW to bring up MSX replaced 11/1/33

MSX 11/1/33

The bylaws do insist on the registration

of Motor Vehicles

Br 12/1/33

The draft is acceptable to orders. In view to the fact that levy of toll is more advantageous whether an Enquiry Shd be made why regn was made when there was no applcn is for consideration Regn would be the better course of course, if the bus stopped after a few days and it was intended

MSX

GENERAL 13

H File No 159 of 1932

Serial No

The point raised by the Mal Govt is a very wide one & really requires much discussion If a man resident in Mysore wants to run a bus service between Bangalore & Madras, he is clearly right in getting his buses registered at Bangalore The Mal Govt may be right that the a [redacted] but may be registered at the HQ - & seats of the business (or the place of residence of the owner) which is really the meaning of the letter referred to by him

RR 20/1/33

5817

I agree with the Secy in the case of the three persons between B'lore & Madras, regarding whom B'lore appears to be all right, altho the name has to be

RR 24/1/33

RR 24/1/33

Mr AP

It would be useful in any cases of this kind for letters to be sent to the D'rs of R'ds for information

Yes

CB, 11/2

Issue

To the Mysore Govt 2 5785 Dy 1-3-33

States that the intention of the rule is clear but they may be requested to amend the Rules so as to remove any doubt & uncertainty.

Returned to Secretariat SECT 24 FEB 1933

OTL—Contra Sheet

WD 71—GBPM—60 000—13 8.33

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mc 159-32-1

No T0102 PW / 21 9 32

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,

*Bangalore City Dated* 20th Septr 1932

GEN & REV SECT 24 S- L & G BRANCH

FROM

P H KRISHNA RAU ESQ, M A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

R Ranga Rao, Esquire, B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government, General Department,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY 23 SEP 32 No S S 9 Sir, Jm 2319 or SS 2319

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No L 9783/M1 197-30-4 dated 11th June 1932 stating that the owner of a Bus can take out a License in one place only viz , the place where he resides and which is the real Head Quarters of his business etc , I have the honor to state that there are some instances where the owner of a bus resides and has his Head Quarters in a certain place but his buses ply for hire between two other places, and stop only during nights at one of these places, without coming to his Head Quarters at all

An instance in point is the Chamundi Motor Service Co has its Head Quarters at Mysore and the Proprietor, Mr Basvaraj Urs also lives there But some of the buses of the Service ply between Bangalore and Amatur and they stay in Bangalore for the night, without ever going to Mysore There is no Municipality in Amatur and so there is no question of their being registered there It is a clear case where the buses can be registered only in Bangalore

I request you therefore to kindly obtain orders of Government modifying the instructions already conveyed empowering the Municipality to issue a Registration License in the place

where ~~his~~ the buses stop usually ~~and~~ in cases where buses never go to the Head Quarters of the owner

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

SRB

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Rl

File No 169—32

Serial No 2

*Diary No of letter replied to*

No L 2872

To The Rl. Comr - Bdare cls Utkd the pol-
cls Rl at Bdare

Sir, -- -

Weth refce to ~~correspondence ending~~
~~with~~ your letter ~~office~~ no T.O 102 p.e D 3/20 4 32
in the matter of the issue of Registration licence
~~requiring that orders may come empowering~~
~~the Rlys to issue a Registration licence to buses~~
in places where they usually ~~stop without going~~ returning to
Headquarters, etc, I am directed to requ
you kindly to furnish ~~be so good~~ as information on the follow
points :-

1) Are the buses of the Chammundi Motor Ser
plying for hire between Bdare cls & Amarthu
Registered in the Mysore cls Rly or in any
other Rly? If so under what provisions of law

2) Are these buses paying toll to the
Bdare cls Rly?

3) Has at any time application been
Have these buses applied for

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193*

Date of issue 19/10

Signed by Mr A S Rozario motor buses

for registration
~~to register these houses in Bloxe~~ and has
it ever been refused?

4) Which is more advantageous
to the Bloxe adj Mkt, levy of toll in
such cases or registration for
payment of tax?

I have etc

14/9 for Gen Secy
12 10 25

X 2812
Jud 159-32-2

17th October 1932
9

The

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City,
THROUGH the President,
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

With reference to your letter No 20 102 P C D dated 20th September 1932 in the matter of the issue of Registration Licenses for motor buses in places where they usually stop without returning to their Headquarters etc I am directed to request you to kindly furnish information on the following points -

1) Are the buses of the Chamundi Motor Service plying for hire between Bangalore City and Kanakapura registered in the Mysore City Municipality or in any other Municipality? If so under what provision of law?

2) Are these buses paying toll to the Bangalore City Municipality?

3) Have these buses applied for registration in Bangalore & has it ever been refused?

4) Which is more advantageous to the Bangalore City Municipality ~ Levy of toll in such cases or registration for payment of tax?

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Aruna Rao
for General Secretary to Government

ml 159-32-3

No 10237 PCO / 25 11 32

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

*Bangalore City, Dated* 23-11- 193 2

2466 / L

FROM

P H KRISHNA RAU ESQ M A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

29 NOV

TO

H V Ramasvami, Esq M A B L,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General Department, Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY 29 NOV 1932 No

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 2812/Ml 159-32-2 dated the 17/19th October 1932 calling for information on certain points with regard to the Chamundi Motor Service I have the honour to state as follows -

The buses of the Chamundi Motor Service plying for hire between City and Amarthur were not registered in any of the municipalities till 20th Septr 1932 but they were registered in the Bangalore City municipality on the abovesaid date as per byelaws in force in this Municipality These buses were paying toll till the date of registration in this Municipality The owner of these buses neither applied for its registration in Bangalore City nor was registration ever refused In such cases levy of toll is more advantageous than registration

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

vvk 2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJLCT

Section ml
File No 155-32
Serial No L

*Diary No of letter replied to*

No L-5685

To The Collector, Bassein
(in pdc

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193*

With refee to correspondg ending with your letter no 9 to 237 P C & D 23-11-32 requesting that the ~~Mag~~ Mag's letter no L 9783- Rll 197-30-4 D 11 6 1931 conveyed instructions that the owner of a bus can take out a license in one place only (ie ~~the~~ the place where he resides and where the head-quarters of his business may be ordinarily supposed was to be empowered the Mags to issue a Registration license in the place where the buses stop usually, if they also ask to be the H.Q quarters of the owner, I am directed to state that the bus may be registered at the H.Q-qrs to

Date of issue 1/3/33

Signed by Mr A S Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

That the intention of the letter referred to

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20 7 31

[illegible] business or the place of residence
of the owner, ~~and what is~~ In the case
of the two belong between Bangalore and
and Surat referred to in your letter
Surat registration in Bangalore
all right, although the owner resides at
Surat

I have etc

[illegible]
for Secy Govt

9/2

Memo No L 5-686-94

Copy to 1) The Addl Asst Collr for information
2) Dy Cmr I Dn

B O

[illegible]
for Secy

9/2

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No C L 5685/Ml 159-32-4 General and Revenue Secretariat

*Dated Bangalore* 25th February 1933. 1st March

FROM

R RANGA RAO ESQ BA BL
*General Secretary to the Government*
*of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality,
Through the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council
Bangalore.

SIR

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No C To.237/P.C.D. dated 23rd November 1932 requesting that the instructions conveyed in this office Memk letter No L.9783/Ml. 197-30-4, dated 11th June 1931 that the owner of a bus can take out a license in one place only, i.e., the place where he resides and which is the real head quarters of his business may be suitably modified, so as to empower the Municipality to issue a Registration License in the place where the buses stop usually if they never go to the Head Quarters of the owner, I am directed to state that the ~~instructions~~ intention of the letter referred to is that the bus may be registered at the Head Quarters of the business or the place of residence of the owner. In the case of the bus plying between Bangalore City and Amratur referred to in your letters registration in Bangalore City is all right, although the owner resides at Mysore.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Adulve Rao
for General Secretary to Government.

Agn/12